

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE GROUP $U_3(4)^{(1)}$

BY
RICHARD LYONS

Abstract. Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of the projective special unitary group $U_3(4)$, and let G be a finite group with Sylow 2-subgroups isomorphic to T . It is shown that if G is simple, then $G \cong U_3(4)$; if G has no proper normal subgroup of odd order or index, then $G \cong U_3(4)$ or T .

1. Introduction. We denote by $U_3(4)$ the projective special group of 3×3 unitary matrices with coefficients in the field of 4^2 elements. Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $U_3(4)$. Our main result is

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a finite simple group whose Sylow 2-subgroups are isomorphic to T . Then $G \cong U_3(4)$.*

As a simple consequence we obtain

COROLLARY. *Let G be a finite group whose Sylow 2-subgroups are isomorphic to T . Suppose $O_{2'}(G) = G/O_{2'}(G) = 1$. Then $G \cong U_3(4)$ or $G \cong T$.*

Theorem 1 can be applied to complete the proof of the following result of Janko and Thompson [11].

THEOREM. *Let G be a finite nonabelian simple group with Sylow 2-subgroup S . Assume that*

- (a) $SCN_3(S) = \emptyset$,
 - (b) $C_G(x)$ is solvable whenever x is an involution in S such that $|S:C_S(x)| \leq 2$.
- Then G is isomorphic to A_7 , M_{11} , $L_3(3)$, $U_3(3)$, $U_3(4)$, or $L_2(q)$ for q odd.*

When the classification of finite simple groups with wreathed Sylow 2-subgroups is finished (see [1]), it will combine with results of MacWilliams [12], Alperin-Brauer-Gorenstein [1], Gorenstein-Walter [10], and with Theorem 1 to provide a classification of finite simple groups in which every elementary abelian 2-subgroup has rank at most 2. If no new groups turn up in the wreathed case, then the only such groups are $L_2(q)$, $L_3(q)$, $U_3(q)$ for q odd; A_7 , M_{11} , and $U_3(4)$.

Received by the editors March 22, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 2025, 2029.

Key words and phrases. Simple group, principal 2-block, generalized decomposition numbers.

⁽¹⁾ Most of this paper appeared in the author's doctoral dissertation at the University of Chicago. The author thanks his adviser, Professor J. G. Thompson, for his help and encouragement.

Copyright © 1972, American Mathematical Society

We note the following well-known facts about T :

- (i) $|T| = 2^6$;
- (ii) $Z(T) = T' = \Phi(T) = \Omega_1(T) = \Omega^1(T)$ is a four-group.

With a little extra effort we can prove the following slight strengthening of Theorem 1:

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a finite simple group. Suppose a Sylow 2-subgroup T of G satisfies (i) and (ii). Then $G \cong U_3(4)$.*

The proof of Theorem 2 is patterned after the characterization of M_{12} by Brauer and Fong [7]. Namely, we compute the generalized decomposition numbers for the principal 2-block of a group G satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2, and then use group-order formulas to conclude that G has an ordinary rational character of degree 12. From the resulting bound on $|G|$ it follows easily that G has a strongly embedded subgroup and so is isomorphic to $U_3(4)$ by a theorem of Bender [2].

2. 2-local structure. We begin the proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a finite simple group with a Sylow 2-subgroup T satisfying (i) and (ii). Let t be a fixed element of T of order 4, and let $z = t^2$.

- LEMMA 1.** (a) G has one class of involutions and one class of elements of order 4.
 (b) Elements of order 4 are rational but not strongly real.
 (c) $N_G(T)/O_2(N_G(T)) \cong T\langle\beta\rangle$, where β is a fixed-point-free automorphism of T of order 15.
 (d) $C_G(z)/O_2(C_G(z)) \cong T\langle\beta^3\rangle$.
 (e) $|C_G(t)/O_2(C_G(t))| = 2^4$.

Proof. By the Z^* -theorem [8], no involution in $Z(T)$ is weakly closed in T . Since $Z(T) = \Omega_1(T)$ contains just three involutions, they must all be fused in G . Hence G has one class of involutions. Moreover, by a result of Burnside, $K = N_G(T)/TC_G(T)$ contains an element α of order 3 acting fixed-point-free on T . In particular, all involutions in T have the same number (20) of square roots in T .

As $|T/\Phi(T)| = 2^4$, $|K| \mid 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. We claim $|K| = 15$, which will prove (c). Suppose $x \in K$ has order 7. Then $|C_T(x)| = 2^3$ and x centralizes $Z(T)$, so stabilizes each set of 20 square roots of elements of $Z(T)^\#$. Therefore $|C_T(x)| \geq 3.6$, a contradiction. Hence $7 \nmid |K|$. Suppose $9 \mid |K|$; then K contains a Sylow 3-subgroup $\langle\alpha, \alpha_1\rangle$, where $\alpha_1^3 = 1$ and $|C_{T/\Phi(T)}(\alpha_1)| = 4$. Then α_1 must centralize $Z(T)$, so $|C_T(\alpha_1)| = 16$. Since α_1 commutes with α , it must fix the same number of square roots of each involution of T , and hence fixes 4 of each. Hence α_1 acts without fixed points on the remaining 16 square roots of each involution, which is absurd. Therefore $9 \nmid |K|$.

Suppose $|K| = 3$. Let $C = C_G(z)$. Obviously $Z(T)/\langle z \rangle$ is weakly closed in $T/\langle z \rangle$ with respect to $C/\langle z \rangle$, since $Z(T) = \Omega_1(T)$. By the Z^* -theorem, $Z(T) \leq Z^*(C)$. Let $\bar{C} = C/O_2(C) \cdot Z(T)$. As $|K| = 3$, $\bar{C}$ has a Sylow 2-subgroup lying in the center of its normalizer; thus $\bar{C}$ has a normal 2-complement, so C does also. Moreover, we claim that $N_G(T)$ controls fusion of elements of T . This is clear for involutions. If

$t_1, t_2 \in T$ have order 4 and $t_1^g = t_2$ for some $g \in G$, then $t_1^2 = (t_2^2)^n$ for some $n \in N_G(T)$; hence $gn \in C_G(t_1^2)$ and $t_1^{gn} \in T$. As $C_G(t_1^2)$ has a normal 2-complement, t_1^{gn} is T -conjugate to t_1 . Hence t_1^g is conjugate to t_1 in $N_G(T)$. Now by a theorem of Glauberman [9], G is a Suzuki group, which is absurd (e.g., $3 \mid |G|$). Therefore $|K| \neq 3$.

Hence $|K| = 15$, proving (c). We next prove (d). Let $C = C_G(z)$. As above, we have $Z(T) \leq Z^*(C)$. Denote residues mod $Z(T)O_2(C)$ by bars. Thus $|N_{\bar{C}}(\bar{T}) : C_{\bar{C}}(\bar{T})| = 5$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of $\bar{C}$. As $O_2(\bar{C}) = 1$, $N \cap \bar{T} \neq 1$. But $N_{\bar{C}}(\bar{T})$ acts irreducibly on $\bar{T}$ so $\bar{T} \leq N$. Now the main theorem of [14] implies that N is abelian, so $\bar{T} = N \triangleleft \bar{C}$. Thus $C = O_2(C) \cdot N_C(T)$, which proves (d).

Next, β acts transitively on the elements of $(T/Z(T))^\#$. Hence the coset $tZ(T) = tT'$ contains representatives of all G -conjugacy classes of elements of order 4. Suppose that not all elements of $tZ(T)$ are fused in T , i.e. $|C_T(t)| > 2^4$. Then $|C_T(t)| = 2^5$ as $t \notin Z(T)$, and by applying β we conclude that $|C_T(x)| = 2^5$ if $x \in T - Z(T)$. This implies that T has $4 + 30$ conjugacy classes. Hence it has 16 linear characters and 18 ordinary characters of degree at least 2, so $|T| \geq 16 + 4 \cdot 18$, a contradiction. Therefore, all elements of $tZ(T)$ are fused, proving (a). Also, as $t^2 = z$, $C_G(t)/O_2(C_G(t)) \cong C_{T\langle\beta^3\rangle}(t)$ by (c); this equals $C_T(t)$, proving (e).

Finally, (b) is clear from the fact that T contains three involutions, hence no subgroup isomorphic to D_8 .

3. Generalized decomposition numbers of $B_0(G)$. For any group H , we denote the principal 2-block of H by $B_0(H)$. We first determine the Cartan matrices C^z and C^t of $B_0(C_G(z))$ and $B_0(C_G(t))$. Since $C_G(t)$ has a normal 2-complement, $B_0(C_G(t))$ contains just one Brauer character and $C^t = (16)$ with respect to the basic set $\{1\}$. Let λ be a fixed linear character of $C_G(z)$ with kernel $T \cdot O_2(C_G(z))$. Let μ be the restriction of λ to the elements of $C_G(z)$ of odd order.

LEMMA 2. $(C^z)_{ij} = 4(3 + \delta_{ij})$ with respect to the basic set $\{1, \mu, \mu^2, \mu^4, \mu^3\}$.

Proof. We may assume $O_2(C_G(z)) = 1$; then since $Z(T) \leq Z(C_G(z))$, it suffices to show that $C_{ij} = 3 + \delta_{ij}$ where C is the Cartan matrix of $B_0(T\langle\beta^3\rangle/Z(T))$ with respect to the μ^i 's considered as Brauer characters modulo $Z(T)$. (See [5], [6].) One checks directly that each λ^i , hence each μ^i , is in the principal 2-block; since the μ^i are the only Brauer characters of $T\langle\beta^3\rangle/Z(T)$, all ordinary characters of this group lie in the principal 2-block. There are five linear characters, and three faithful ones, which equal $\sum_{i=0}^4 \mu^i$ on elements of odd order. The lemma follows easily.

Let $1 = \chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_m$ be the ordinary characters in $B_0(G)$. Then there exist generalized decomposition numbers d_j^i and ${}_i d_j^z$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, $0 \leq j \leq m$, such that

$$(3.1) \quad \chi_j(t\rho) = d_j^i \quad \text{and} \\ \chi_j(z\pi) = {}_1 d_j^z + {}_2 d_j^z \mu(\pi) + {}_3 d_j^z \mu^2(\pi) + {}_4 d_j^z \mu^4(\pi) + {}_5 d_j^z \mu^3(\pi)$$

for all $\rho \in C_G(t)$ and $\pi \in C_G(z)$ of odd order. The ${}_i d_j^z$ are automatically rational integers; since $\chi_j(t) = d_j^i$ and t is rational, the d_j^i are as well. We consider d^t and ${}_i d^z$ to be columns of numbers indexed by $B_0(G)$, whose j th entries are d_j^i and ${}_i d_j^z$

respectively. For any two columns A and B indexed by $B_0(G)$, put $(A, B) = \sum_{j=0}^m A_j \bar{B}_j$ (the bar denotes complex conjugation). By Lemma 2 and [3] we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (d^t, d^t) &= 16; & (d^t, {}_i d^z) &= 0; \\ ({}_i d^z, {}_j d^z) &= 4(3 + \delta_{ij}) & \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 5. \end{aligned}$$

The method of contribution [7] yields

$$(3.3) \quad 4(d_j^t)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^5 ({}_i d_j^z)^2 + 3 \sum_{h < i} ({}_h d_j^z - {}_i d_j^z)^2 < 64$$

for each j , $0 \leq j \leq m$.

LEMMA 3. $\chi(z) \equiv \chi(t) \pmod{4}$ for any character χ of G .

Proof. By Lemma 1, $|C_T(x)| = 2^4$ for all $x \in T - Z(T)$. Since T has 2^4 linear characters all nonlinear characters of T vanish outside $Z(T)$. Let ψ be such a character not containing z in its kernel. Then $\psi(1) = 4 = -\psi(z)$ and so $(\chi|T, \psi) \in Z$ implies $\chi(1) \equiv \chi(z) \pmod{16}$. Then summing χ on $C_T(t)$ yields $4\chi(z) + 12\chi(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, proving the lemma.

Together with (3.1), Lemma 3 yields

$$(3.4) \quad d_j^t \equiv \sum_{i=1}^5 {}_i d_j^z \pmod{4}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

Let σ be a Galois automorphism of some splitting field for G , such that $\mu^\sigma = \mu^2$. Then for any χ_j in $B_0(G)$, χ_j^σ is also in $B_0(G)$ so there exists an index k , $0 \leq k \leq m$, such that $\chi_j^\sigma = \chi_k$. From (3.1) we obtain $d_j^t = d_k^t$, ${}_1 d_j^z = {}_1 d_k^z$, ${}_2 d_j^z = {}_5 d_k^z$, ${}_3 d_j^z = {}_2 d_k^z$, ${}_4 d_j^z = {}_3 d_k^z$, ${}_5 d_j^z = {}_4 d_k^z$. We refer to this fact as "Galois symmetry."

Now, using (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), and Galois symmetry, we shall show that the generalized decomposition numbers for $B_0(G)$ are one of the possibilities (A) through (V) listed in Table I, up to a sign change in each row and a permutation of rows. In each case, the j th row consists of d_j^t and ${}_i d_j^z$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. We denote by v_j the 5-tuple $({}_1 d_j^z, {}_2 d_j^z, {}_3 d_j^z, {}_4 d_j^z, {}_5 d_j^z)$.

TABLE I
Possible sets of generalized decomposition numbers of $B_0(G)$

(A)	$d^t \quad {}_1 d^z \quad {}_2 d^z \quad {}_3 d^z \quad {}_4 d^z \quad {}_5 d^z \quad \pm \chi(1)$						
	$Z_1 \text{ or } Z_2$						
	1	1	0	0	0	0	y_1
	1	1	0	0	0	0	y_2
	1	1	2	2	2	2	y_3
	2	2	2	2	2	2	
(B)	$d^t \quad {}_1 d^z \quad {}_2 d^z \quad {}_3 d^z \quad {}_4 d^z \quad {}_5 d^z \quad \pm \chi(1)$						
	$Z_1 \text{ or } Z_2$						
	1	1	1	1	1	1	y_1
	1	1	1	1	1	1	y_2
	1	1	2	2	2	2	y_3
	2	2	1	1	1	1	y_4
	0	0	1	1	1	1	y_5

	d^t	${}_1d^z$	${}_2d^z$	${}_3d^z$	${}_4d^z$	${}_5d^z$	$\pm\chi(1)$		d^t	${}_1d^z$	${}_2d^z$	${}_3d^z$	${}_4d^z$	${}_5d^z$	$\pm\chi(1)$
	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	0	0	0	0	y_2
	1	1	1	1	1	1			1	1	0	0	0	0	y_3
	1	1	0	0	0	0	y_6		0	0	1	1	1	1	y_4
	0	0	1	1	1	1	y_7								
	0	0	1	1	1	1	y_8								
(N)	1	1	0	0	0	0	1		(P)	1	1	0	0	0	1
				Z_5			x_1						Z_5		x_1
				Z_5			x_2						Z_5		x_2
				Z_6			x_3						Z_6		x_3
				Z_6			x_4						Z_6		x_4
	-2	2	2	2	2	2	x_5			-2	2	2	2	2	x_5
	1	1	0	0	0	0	x_6			1	1	0	0	0	x_6
	1	1	2	2	2	2	x_7			1	1	1	1	1	x_7
	1	1	0	0	0	0	x_8			1	1	1	1	1	x_8
										0	0	1	1	1	x_9
										0	0	1	1	1	x_{10}
(Q)	1	1	0	0	0	0	1		(R)	1	1	0	0	0	1
				Z_5			x_1						Z_5		x_1
				Z_6			x_2						Z_6		x_2
				Z_6			x_3						Z_6		x_3
				Z_6			x_4						Z_6		x_4
	-3	1	1	1	1	1	x_5			-3	1	1	1	1	x_5
	1	1	2	2	2	2	x_6			1	1	1	1	1	x_6
	1	1	0	0	0	0	x_7			1	1	1	1	1	x_7
	0	0	1	1	1	1	x_8		3 \times	0	0	1	1	1	
(S)									(T)						
				Z_7									Z_7		
				Z_6			x_2						Z_6		x_2
				Z_6			x_3						Z_5		x_3
	-2	0	1	0	1	0	x_4			-1	1	2	1	2	x_4
	-2	0	0	1	0	1	x_4			-1	1	1	2	1	x_4
	1	1	2	2	2	2	x_5			1	1	2	2	2	x_5
	1	1	1	1	1	1	x_6			2	2	1	1	1	x_6
	1	1	1	1	1	1	x_7								
	0	0	1	1	1	1	x_8								

(U)	d^t	${}_1d^z$	${}_2d^z$	${}_3d^z$	${}_4d^z$	${}_5d^z$	$\pm\chi(1)$
	Z_7						
	Z_6						x_2
	Z_5						x_3
	-1	1	2	1	2	1	x_4
	-1	1	1	2	1	2	x_4
	2	2	2	2	2	2	x_5
	1	1	0	0	0	0	x_6
	0	0	1	1	1	1	x_7

(V)	d^t	${}_1d^z$	${}_2d^z$	${}_3d^z$	${}_4d^z$	${}_5d^z$	$\pm\chi(1)$
	Z_7						
	Z_6						x_2
	Z_5						x_3
	-1	1	2	1	2	1	x_4
	-1	1	1	2	1	2	x_4
$4\times$	1	1	1	1	1	1	$x_5^{(i)}$
							$(1 \leq i \leq 4)$
	1	1	0	0	0	0	x_6
	0	0	1	1	1	1	x_7

where

$Z_1:$	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	-1	1	1	1	1	-1	x_1
	-1	1	1	1	-1	1	x_1
	-1	1	1	-1	1	1	x_1
	-1	1	-1	1	1	1	x_1
	-2	2	2	2	2	2	x_2
$Z_2:$	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	-1	1	0	0	0	2	x_1
	-1	1	0	0	2	0	x_1
	-1	1	0	2	0	0	x_1
	-1	1	2	0	0	0	x_1
	-2	2	2	2	2	2	x_2
$Z_3:$	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1	1	2	0	1	1	x_1
	1	1	0	1	1	2	x_1
	1	1	1	1	2	0	x_1
	1	1	1	2	0	1	x_1
	-1	1	1	1	0	0	x_2
	-1	1	1	0	0	1	x_2
	-1	1	0	0	1	1	x_2
	-1	1	0	1	1	0	x_2
	-2	2	2	2	2	2	x_3
$Z_4:$	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	1	1	2	1	0	1	x_1
	1	1	1	0	1	2	x_1
	1	1	0	1	2	1	x_1
	1	1	1	2	1	0	x_1
$Z_5:$	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	1	0	0	1	0	0	
	1	0	0	0	1	0	
	1	0	0	0	0	1	
$Z_6:$	0	1	1	1	1	0	
	0	1	1	1	0	1	
	0	1	1	0	1	1	
	0	1	0	1	1	1	
$Z_7:$	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
	-1	1	1	1	0	0	x_1
	-1	1	1	0	0	1	x_1
	-1	1	0	0	1	1	x_1
	-1	1	0	1	1	0	x_1

Define the following columns of rational integers indexed by $B_0(G)$: ${}_0A = {}_1d^z - {}_2d^z$, ${}_1A = {}_2d^z - {}_3d^z$, ${}_2A = {}_3d^z - {}_4d^z$, ${}_3A = {}_4d^z - {}_5d^z$, ${}_4A = {}_5d^z - {}_2d^z$. Thus for any j , $\sum_{i=1}^4 {}_iA_j = 0$, and by Galois symmetry there exists j' with ${}_0A_{j'} = {}_0A_j + {}_1A_j$, ${}_iA_j = {}_{i+1}A_{j'}$ ($i=1, 2, 3$), ${}_4A_j = {}_1A_{j'}$. From (3.2) we get $({}_iA, {}_iA) = 8$ ($0 \leq i \leq 4$); $({}_1A, {}_4A) = ({}_iA, {}_{i+1}A) = ({}_0A, {}_4A) = -4$ ($0 \leq i \leq 3$); and $({}_0A, {}_2A) = ({}_0A, {}_3A) = ({}_1A, {}_3A) = ({}_2A, {}_4A) = 0$. We always take $\chi_0 = 1_G$; thus ${}_0A_0 = 1$, ${}_iA_0 = 0$ for $i > 0$.

We consider first the case when some entry of some ${}_iA$, $i > 0$, is ± 2 . By Galois symmetry we may assume $i=1$, and since we are allowing permutations of rows

and sign changes in each row, we may assume ${}_1A_1=2$. If ${}_3A_1=2$, then $({}_1A, {}_1A)=8$ and $\sum_{i=1}^4 {}_iA_1=0$ imply ${}_2A_1={}_4A_1=-2$. By Galois symmetry, we may assume ${}_1A_2={}_3A_2=-{}_2A_2=-{}_4A_2=-2$, contradicting $({}_1A, {}_3A)=0$. Therefore ${}_3A_1 \neq 2$. Again by Galois symmetry, we may assume ${}_iA_j={}_jA_i$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$; ${}_1d_1^z={}_1d_2^z={}_1d_3^z={}_1d_4^z$; $d_1^i=d_2^i=d_3^i=d_4^i$; and ${}_0A_j={}_0A_{j-1}+{}_1A_{j-1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq 4$. It follows easily from $({}_1A, {}_3A)=0$ that ${}_3A_1=0$. We consider the several possibilities for ${}_0A_1$ separately. Note that always $\chi_j(z) \neq 0$, for otherwise, $(d^t, d^t)=16$, $d_j^t=\chi_j(t) \equiv \chi_j(z) \pmod{4}$ imply $\chi_j(t)=0$, whence χ_j has defect zero, contradicting $\chi_j \in B_0(G)$.

Case 1. ${}_0A_1 > 0$. Then ${}_0A_2={}_0A_1+{}_1A_1 \geq 2$, contradicting $({}_0A, {}_0A)=8$.

Case 2. ${}_0A_1=0$. By an argument like that in Case 1, we find ${}_1A_2={}_2A_1=-1$ or -2 .

(a) Suppose ${}_1A_2=-2$. $({}_1A, {}_1A)=8$ yields ${}_1A_j=0$ for $j > 2$. From $(d^t, d^t)=({}_1d^z, {}_1d^z)=16$, (3.3), and (3.4), we find $d_1^t=-1$ and $v_1=(1, 1, -1, 1, 1)$. For $j > 4$ we have ${}_2d_j^z={}_3d_j^z={}_4d_j^z={}_5d_j^z$, so ${}_1d_j^z \equiv d_j^t \pmod{4}$. Since $(d^t, {}_1d^z)=0$, we may assume $d_5^t=-2$, ${}_1d_5^z=2$. Then clearly $d_j^t={}_1d_j^z$ for $j > 5$. For $i > 1$, $({}_id^z, {}_1d^z-d^t)=12$ yields ${}_id_5^z=2$. It now follows easily that we have one of the cases (A)–(E) of Table I, with Z_1 's.

(b) Suppose ${}_1A_2=-1$. Then ${}_1A_3=0$ implies ${}_1A_4=-1$. It follows that $\sum_{j=0}^4 {}_0A_j^2=7$; since $({}_0A, {}_0A)=8$, we may assume ${}_0A_5=1$, ${}_0A_j=0$ for $j > 5$. The conditions on $({}_0A, {}_iA)$ imply ${}_1A_5={}_2A_5=-{}_3A_5=-{}_4A_5=-1$. By Galois symmetry there exist at least four $j > 4$ with ${}_1A_j \neq 0$, contradicting $({}_1A, {}_1A)=8$.

Case 3. ${}_0A_1=-1$. Suppose first that ${}_2A_1$ or ${}_4A_1$ is ± 2 . As $({}_1A, {}_1A)=8$, it must be -2 . If ${}_4A_1=-2$ we replace the first row by the fourth with a sign change and so may assume ${}_2A_1=-2$; then ${}_3A_1={}_4A_1=0$. As in Case 2(a), we easily conclude that we may assume $d_1^t=-1$ and $v_1=(1, 0, 2, 0, 0)$.

As in Case 2(a) we may assume ${}_jd_5^z=-d_5^t=2$, and we get (A)–(E) in Table I, with Z_2 's.

Now suppose $|{}_iA_1| \leq 1$, $2 \leq i \leq 4$. It follows that ${}_2A_1={}_4A_1=-1$. As $\chi_1(z) \neq 0$, we may assume by (3.3), (3.4), that $d_1^t=1$ and $v_1=(1, 2, 0, 1, 1)$ or $(1, 0, 2, 1, 1)$.

The arguments in both these cases are the same so we consider only the first. We have $\sum_{j=1}^4 {}_1A_j{}_3A_j=2$. Since $({}_1A, {}_3A)=0$ and $|{}_jA_k| \leq 1$ for $j > 0$, $k > 4$, we may assume ${}_1A_5=-{}_3A_5=1$. By Galois symmetry, χ_5 has at least four algebraic conjugates under σ , and it follows easily from $({}_iA, {}_iA)=8$ that ${}_2A_5={}_4A_5=0$. We may assume $\chi_5^{\sigma^i}=\chi_{5+i}$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$. As $({}_0A, {}_0A)=8$, ${}_0A_5=0$ or -1 . By replacing the fifth row with the seventh with a sign change if necessary, we may assume ${}_0A_5=0$. Then we may assume $d_5^t=-1$, and $v_5=(1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$. As in 2(a) we may assume $d_9^t=-{}_1d_9^z=-2$; $({}_jd^z, {}_1d^z-d^t)=12$ yields ${}_jd_9^z=2$ for $2 \leq j \leq 5$. We then clearly get (F) or (G) in Table I.

Case 4. ${}_0A_1=-2$. If ${}_2A_1=-2$, then ${}_0A_2=0$ and ${}_1A_2=-2$, and Case 3 applies. Similarly, if ${}_4A_1=-2$, then ${}_0A_4=0$ and ${}_1A_4=-2$ and Case 3 applies again. As $\sum_{i=1}^4 {}_iA_1=0$, we may assume ${}_2A_1={}_4A_1=-1$; an argument like that in Case 2(b) gives a contradiction.

Now we may assume that $|{}_iA_j| \leq 1$ for $i \geq 1$ and all j .

Case 5. ${}_1A_1 = {}_2A_1 = -{}_3A_1 = -{}_4A_1 = 1$. By Galois symmetry, we may assume ${}_1A_2 = {}_4A_2 = {}_3A_3 = {}_4A_3 = {}_2A_4 = {}_3A_4 = 1$ and other ${}_iA_j$, $2 \leq i \leq 4$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, are -1 . The conditions on the inner products $({}_iA, {}_jA)$ imply that we may assume ${}_1A_5 = {}_2A_6 = {}_1A_7 = {}_2A_8 = {}_3A_5 = {}_4A_6 = {}_3A_7 = {}_4A_8 = 1$ and other ${}_iA_j$, $5 \leq j \leq 8$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, are -1 . From $({}_0A, {}_0A) = 8$ we may assume ${}_0A_1 = -{}_0A_3 = {}_0A_5 = {}_0A_7 = -1$, ${}_0A_2 = {}_0A_4 = {}_0A_6 = {}_0A_8 = 0$. We then have $d_j^t \equiv {}_1d_j^z \pmod{4}$ for $j > 8$. (3.3) and $\chi_1(z) \neq 0$ imply that we may assume $d_1^t = 1$, $v_1 = (1, 2, 1, 0, 1)$, by replacing the first row with the third with a sign change, if necessary. By Galois symmetry, $({}_2d^z, {}_2d^z) = 16$, and (3.3), we have $v_5 = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$, $(0, 1, 0, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ or $(2, 1, 2, 1, 2)$, and similarly for v_7 .

(a) If $v_5 = (2, 1, 2, 1, 2)$, then $d_5^t = 0$; (3.2) implies $v_7 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ and $d_7^t = -1$. Then $(d^t, {}_1d^z) = 0$ implies we may assume $d_9^t = -3$, ${}_1d_9^z = 1$. $({}_jd^z, d^t) = 0$ yields ${}_jd_9^z = 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq 5$ and we have (H) in Table I.

(b) If $v_5 = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0)$, then $d_5^t = \pm 2$. If $d_5^t = 2$, then the Schwarz inequality on the columns $(d_j^t)_{j>6}$ and $({}_2d_j^z)_{j>6}$ yields $6 \leq 27^{1/2}$, a contradiction. So $d_5^t = -2$. Now $(d^t, d^t) = 16$ implies $v_7 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ or $(1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$; $(d^t, {}_1d^z) = 0$ implies we may assume $d_9^t = -1$, ${}_1d_9^z = 3$, and so $({}_1d^z, {}_2d^z) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, a contradiction.

(c) We may now assume that v_5 and v_7 are either $(1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ or $(1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$. If both are $(1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$, then $\sum_{j=0}^8 ({}_2d_j^z)^2 = 16$ and $\sum_{j=0}^8 {}_1d_j^z {}_2d_j^z = 10$, a contradiction. Hence we may assume $v_5 = (1, 0, 1, 0, 1)$, $d_5^t = -1$. As $(d^t, {}_1d^z) = 0$, we may assume $d_9^t = -{}_1d_9^z = -2$, $d_j^t = {}_1d_j^z = 1$, $10 \leq j \leq 12$; we easily get (J), (K), or (L) in Table I. This disposes of Case 5.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^4 {}_iA_j = 0$ for all j , we may assume that for each j , $({}_iA_j)_{i=1}^4$ is some cyclic permutation of $(1, 0, -1, 0)$; $(1, -1, 1, -1)$; $(1, -1, 0, 0)$; or $(0, 0, 0, 0)$, possibly with a sign change. (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), Galois symmetry, $\chi_j(z) \neq 0$, and the conditions on $({}_iA, {}_jA)$ yield the possibilities for v_j shown in Table II.

Case 6. No $({}_iA_j)_{i=1}^4$ is $(1, 0, -1, 0)$. Then since $({}_1A, {}_3A) = 0$, no $({}_iA_j)_{i=1}^4$ is $(1, -1, 1, -1)$. Hence we may assume $({}_iA_{4n+1})_{i=1}^4 = (1, -1, 0, 0)$ and $\chi_{4n+k} = \chi_{4n+1}^{\sigma_k-1}$, $0 \leq n \leq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq 4$. If ${}_0A_1 = -1$, we get ${}_0A_3 = {}_0A_4 = -1$. Since ${}_0A_0 = 1$ and $({}_0A, {}_0A) = 8$, we may assume ${}_0A_1 = {}_0A_5 = {}_0A_9 = 0$. We have $d_j^t \equiv {}_1d_j^z \pmod{4}$ for $j > 16$.

(a) ${}_0A_{13} = 0$. Then v_1, v_5, v_9 , and v_{13} are each either $(0, 0, -1, 0, 0)$ or $(1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$. Correspondingly, d_1^t, d_5^t, d_9^t , and d_{13}^t are either -1 or 0 . Since $({}_1d^z, {}_1d^z) = (d^t, d^t) = 16$, we cannot have $v_1 = v_5 = v_9 = v_{13}$. Depending on whether one, two, or three of v_1, v_5, v_9 , and v_{13} are $(1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$, we get (by permuting rows and changing signs) cases (M); (N) or (P); (Q) or (R) in Table I.

(b) ${}_0A_{13} = -1$. If $v_{13} = (1, 2, 1, 2, 2)$, then, by $({}_2d^z, {}_2d^z) = 16$, $v_1 = v_5 = v_9 = (0, 0, -1, 0, 0)$, against $({}_1d^z, {}_2d^z) = 12$. So $v_{13} = (0, 1, 0, 1, 1)$. Thus $d_{13}^t = -1$, and as $(d^t, d^t) = 16$, we may assume $v_9 = (1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$. Suppose that k of v_5 and v_7 are $(0, 0, -1, 0, 0)$. By $(d^t, {}_1d^z) = 0$, we may assume ${}_1d_{17}^z = k+1$ and $d_{17}^t = k-3$ ($k=0, 1$, or 2). From $({}_2d^z, {}_1d^z - d^t) = 12$, we get ${}_2d_{17}^z = k$. The Schwarz inequality on $({}_1d_j^z)_{j \geq 17}$ and $({}_2d_j^z)_{j \geq 17}$ yields $(3+2k-k^2)^2 \leq (4+2k-k^2)(2+2k-k^2)$ which is impossible for $0 \leq k \leq 2$.

TABLE II
Possible v_j for given $({}_iA_j)_{i=1}^4$

$({}_iA_j)_{i=1}^4$	${}_0A_j$	Possible v_j (up to sign change and Galois conjugacy)
$(1, 0, -1, 0)$	0	$(1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$ $(1, 1, 2, 2, 1)$
$(1, -1, 1, -1)$	0	$(2, 2, 1, 2, 1)$ $(1, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ $(0, 0, 1, 0, 1)$ $(1, 1, 2, 1, 2)$
$(1, -1, 1, -1)$	1	$(2, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ $(1, 0, -1, 0, -1)$ $(0, 1, 2, 1, 2)$
$(1, -1, 0, 0)$	0	$(1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$ $(0, 0, -1, 0, 0)$
$(1, -1, 0, 0)$	-1	$(1, 2, 1, 2, 2)$ $(0, 1, 0, 1, 1)$

Case 7. $({}_iA_j)_{i=1}^4 = (1, 0, -1, 0)$ for two distinct values of j , say $j=1$ and $j=5$. Then ${}_1d_j^z = -d_j^t = \pm 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq 8$, by Table II. As $({}_1d^z, d^t) = 0$, we get ${}_1d_j^z = d_j^t$ for $j > 8$. Since $({}_1A, {}_3A) = 0$, we may assume $({}_iA_9)_{i=1}^4 = (1, -1, 1, -1)$; then Table II and (3.4) yield ${}_1d_9^z \neq d_9^t$, a contradiction.

Case 8. We may now assume $({}_iA_1)_{i=1}^4 = (1, 0, -1, 0)$, $({}_iA_5)_{i=1}^4 = (1, -1, 1, -1)$, $({}_iA_7)_{i=1}^4 = ({}_iA_{11})_{i=1}^4 = (1, -1, 0, 0)$. Since $({}_0A, {}_0A) = 8$, ${}_0A_1 = {}_0A_5 = {}_0A_7 = 0$; ${}_0A_{11} = -1$ or 0. From Table II, $\sum_{j=7}^{10} (d_j^t - {}_2d_j^z)^2 = 3$, $\sum_{j=11}^{15} (d_j^t - {}_2d_j^z)^2 \geq 3$. If $v_1 = (1, 1, 2, 2, 1)$, then we get $(d^t - {}_2d^z, d^t - {}_2d^z) > 32$, a contradiction. Therefore, $v_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, 1)$, and $d_1^t = -1$. Suppose ${}_0A_{11} = -1$. Then ${}_0A_j = 0$ for $j > 14$; $({}_2d^z, {}_2d^z) = 16$ implies $v_{11} = (0, 1, 0, 1, 1)$, so $d_{11}^t = -1$. Now $(d^t - {}_1d^z, d^t - {}_1d^z) = 32$ implies $d_j^t = {}_1d_j^z$ for $j > 14$. Hence $4 + \sum_{j=5}^{10} (d_j^t)^2 = \sum_{j=5}^{10} ({}_1d_j^z)^2 = \sum_{j=5}^{10} ({}_2d_j^z)^2$, as $(d^t, d^t) = ({}_1d^z, {}_1d^z) = ({}_2d^z, {}_2d^z)$. None of the possibilities for v_j , $5 \leq j \leq 10$, listed in Table II satisfy these equations.

Therefore ${}_0A_{11} = 0$. As above, ${}_1d_j^z = d_j^t$ for $j > 14$. If $v_7 = v_{11} = (0, 0, -1, 0, 0)$, then $(d^t, d^t) = ({}_1d^z, {}_1d^z) = 16$ implies $v_5 = (2, 1, 2, 1, 2)$, so $({}_2d^z, {}_1d^z - d^t) = 8$, a contradiction. Therefore we may assume $v_{11} = (1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$. If $v_7 = (1, 1, 0, 1, 1)$, then $(d^t, d^t) = ({}_1d^z, {}_1d^z)$ and $({}_2d^z, {}_1d^z - d^t) = 12$ imply $v_5 = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ and $d_5^t = -2$. This yields (S) in Table I. Finally, if $v_7 = (0, 0, -1, 0, 0)$, then $({}_2d^z, {}_1d^z - d^t) = 12$ implies $v_7 = (1, 2, 1, 2, 1)$, and we easily get (T), (U), or (V).

4. Character degrees. We show in this section that either case (U) or (V) in Table I holds, that G has a rational character of degree 12, and

$$(4.1) \quad |G| = 195|C_G(z)|^3/|C_G(Z(T))|^2.$$

Let d be one of the columns d^t or d^z ; let $x=t$ or z , respectively. Let $\tilde{G}=C_G^*(x)$ and let $\tilde{d}$ be the corresponding column of generalized decomposition numbers for $B_0(\tilde{G})$ (with respect to the basic set $\{1\}$ if $x=t$, $\{1, \mu, \mu^2, \mu^4, \mu^3\}$ if $x=z$). Let $\tilde{\chi}_0, \tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_n$ be the ordinary characters in $B_0(\tilde{G})$ and define $h_j = \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\chi}_j(z_{\alpha}) / |C_{\tilde{G}}(z_{\alpha})|$, where z_{α} runs over $\tilde{G}$ -conjugacy classes of involutions. Then by a result of Brauer [4],

$$|G| \sum_{j=0}^m \chi_j(z)^2 d_j / \chi_j(1) = |\tilde{G}| |C_G(z)|^2 \sum_{j=0}^n h_j^2 \tilde{d}_j / \tilde{\chi}_j(1).$$

We denote the left and right sides of this equation by $L(d)$ and $R(d)$, respectively. If A is any column indexed by $B_0(G)$ which is a linear combination of d^t and the d^z , $L(A)$ is defined as the corresponding linear combination of $L(d^t)$ and the $L(d^z)$.

LEMMA 4. (a) $L(d^t)=0$.

(b) $L({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z)=0$.

(c) $L({}_1d^z)=128|C_G(z)|^3/|C_G(Z(T))|^2$.

Proof. Lemma 1(b) and a result of Brauer [4] imply (a). By Lemma 1, $|C_T(x)|=2^4$ for all elements x of T of order 4, so T has 16 linear characters and three irreducible characters ψ , ψ^{β} , and ψ^{β^2} of degree 4 vanishing off $Z(T)$. Choose notation so that $\ker \psi = \langle z \rangle$.

Let $\bar{C} = C_G(z)/O_2(C_G(z))$. As argued in Lemma 2, all characters of $\bar{C}$ lie in $B_0(\bar{C})$. Let $\bar{T}$ be the image of T in $\bar{C}$. Thus $\bar{T} \cong T$.

The characters $1_{\bar{T}}$, ψ , ψ^{β} , ψ^{β^2} are all invariant in $\bar{C}$ and hence extend in five ways each to $\bar{C}$. Since $\exp \bar{C}=20$ and ψ is rational, it is easily seen that at least one extension $\tilde{\psi}$ of ψ is rational, whence $\tilde{\psi}(zf) = -1$ for all $f \in \bar{C}$ of order 5. The extensions of ψ are then $\tilde{\psi}\lambda^i$, $0 \leq i \leq 4$. We have $\tilde{\psi}\lambda^i(zf) = \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda^j(f)$ for all $f \in \bar{C}$ of odd order. Hence the generalized decomposition numbers at z for the characters $\tilde{\psi}\lambda^i$ are the cyclic permutations of $(0, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Similarly, those for $\tilde{\psi}^{\beta}\lambda^i$ and $\tilde{\psi}^{\beta^2}\lambda^i$ are the cyclic permutations of $(0, -1, -1, -1, -1)$. Finally, the fifteen linear non-principal characters of $\bar{T}$ form three orbits under the action of $\bar{C}$ and so by induction to $\bar{C}$ yield three irreducible characters of $\bar{C}$ of degree 5 vanishing off $\bar{T}$ and with $\bar{z}$ in their kernels. Thus the generalized decomposition numbers for each of these characters at $\bar{z}$ are $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Now expand $R({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z)$ from its definition. Apart from a constant factor, there is a sum of terms indexed by $B_0(\bar{C})$. It is clear that the only nonzero terms arise from 1 and λ ; $\tilde{\psi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}\lambda$; $\tilde{\psi}^{\beta}$ and $\tilde{\psi}^{\beta}\lambda$; $\tilde{\psi}^{\beta^2}$ and $\tilde{\psi}^{\beta^2}\lambda$; and these cancel in pairs, proving (b). Put $c(z) = |C_G(z)|$, $c(Z(T)) = |C_G(Z(T))|$. The $\bar{C}$ -classes of involutions are represented by $\bar{z}$, $\bar{y}$, and $\bar{y}\bar{z}$ where $Z(T) = \langle y, z \rangle$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} R({}_1d^z) &= c(z)^3 \left[\left(\frac{1}{c(z)} + \frac{2}{c(Z(T))} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{4}{4} \right) \left(\frac{4}{c(z)} - \frac{8}{c(Z(T))} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{4}{4} \right) \left(-\frac{4}{c(z)} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{4}{4} \right) \left(-\frac{4}{c(z)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{5} \right) \left(\frac{5}{c(z)} + \frac{10}{c(Z(T))} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= 128c(z)^3/c(Z(T))^2, \end{aligned}$$

proving (c).

LEMMA 5. (a) $\chi_j(1) \geq 12$ if $0 < j \leq m$.

(b) $\chi_j(1) + 3 \sum_{i=1}^5 d_j^i + 60d_j^t$ is a nonnegative integral multiple of 64.

(c) $\sum_{j=0}^m \chi_j(1)d_j^i = \sum_{j=0}^m \chi_j(1)d_j^z = 0$ for each i .

Proof. (a) Since $\chi_j|T$ is faithful and $(\chi_j|T, \psi) = (\chi_j^\beta|T, \psi^\beta) = (\chi_j|T, \psi^\beta) = (\chi_j|T, \psi^{\beta^2})$, $\chi_j|T$ must contain $\psi + \psi^\beta + \psi^{\beta^2}$. (b) simply restates that $(\chi|T, 1_T)$ is a nonnegative integer; (c) is due to Brauer [3].

We shall use also the following consequence of a theorem of Schur [13]:

(*) If $\chi_j(1) = e > 5$ and $Q(\chi_j) \subseteq Q(\lambda)$, then no prime divisor of $|G|$ exceeds $e + 1$.

The j th row of the column $\pm \chi(1)$ in Table I is defined as $\pm \chi_j(1)$, according as the j th row of generalized decomposition numbers for G is $\pm$ the j th row in Table I. We now eliminate (A)–(T) case by case.

(A) $L(1d^z - 2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), 1d^z - 2d^z) = 0$ yield

(A1) $1 + (18/x_1) + (1/y_1) + (1/y_2) - (81/y_3) = 0$,

(A2) $1 + 2x_1 + y_1 + y_2 - y_3 = 0$.

By Lemma 5(b), $x_1 \equiv 51$, $y_1 \equiv 1$, $y_2 \equiv 1$, $y_3 \equiv 41 \pmod{64}$. If $x_1 > 0$ or $x_1 < -77$, (A1) implies $y_3 = 41$, whence $(18/51) + (1/65) + (1/65) \geq (18/x_1) + (1/y_1) + (1/y_2) = 40/41$, a contradiction. Therefore $-77 \leq x_1 < 0$. If $x_1 = -13$, (A1) implies $y_3 < 0$; it is clear from Table I that $Q(\chi_1) \subseteq Q(\lambda)$, so (*) implies $y_3 < -343$, so $(2/65) + (81/343) \geq (1/y_1) + (1/y_2) - (81/y_3) = 5/13$, a contradiction. Hence $x_1 = -77$. $|(1/y_1) + (1/y_2)| \leq 2/63$ implies $|(59/77) - (81/y_3)| \leq 2/63$, so $y_3 = 105$. Then $(1/y_1) + (1/y_2) = 2/385$, and (A2) gives $y_1 + y_2 = 258$. These equations have no solution, so (A) is impossible. (D) and (G) yield the same equations as (A) and so are also impossible.

(B) From $(\pm \chi(1), 1d^z - d^t) = 0$ we get $x_2 = -2x_1$. Then $L(1d^z - d^t) > 0$ implies $x_1 < 0$. $L(1d^z - 2d^z) = L(1d^z - 2d^z + d^t) = (\pm \chi(1), 1d^z - 2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), d^t) = 0$ yield

(B1) $1 + (18/x_1) - (81/y_3) + (36/y_4) - (16/y_5) = 0$,

(B2) $2 + (82/x_1) + (25/y_1) + (25/y_2) + (108/y_4) - (16/y_5) = 0$,

(B3) $1 + 2x_1 - y_3 + y_4 - y_5 = 0$,

(B4) $1 + y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + 2y_4 = 0$.

From Lemma 5(b), $x_1 \equiv 51$, $y_1 \equiv 53$, $y_2 \equiv 53$, $y_3 \equiv 41$, $y_4 \equiv 54$, $y_5 \equiv 52 \pmod{64}$; $x_2 \geq 90$, and if $y_4 < 0$, then $y_4 \leq -138$. Since $x_2 = -2x_1$, we get $x_1 \leq -77$. Adding (B3) and (B4), we find that we cannot have $y_1, y_2, y_4 < 0$, $y_5 > 0$ at the same time. Suppose $x_1 < -77$. Then by (B2), we get $y_4 = -138$, $x_1 = -141$, and we may assume $y_1 = -75$. If $y_2 < 0$, then (B4) implies $y_3 \geq 425$, and subtracting (B1) from (B2) yields $81/425 > (64/141) + (25/75) + (72/138) - 1$, a contradiction. So $y_2 > 0$; by (B2), $y_5 = 52$; (B3) yields $y_3 = 471 = 3 \cdot 157$, violating (*) applied to a character of degree 141. Therefore, $x_1 = -77$. If $y_4 > 0$, (B2) implies $y_1 = y_2 = -75$, $y_5 = 52$; (B3) and (B4) give $y_4 = 118$, violating (*) as $y_5 = 52$. Therefore $y_4 < 0$, and (B3) implies either y_3 or $y_5 < 0$. If $y_3 < 0$, (B1) implies $1 < (18/77) + (36/138) + (16/52)$, a contradiction. Therefore $y_5 < 0$, and (B1) implies $y_3 = 41$ or 105. If $y_3 = 41$, (B1) implies $y_5 = -12$, violating (*); therefore $y_3 = 105$. Subtracting (B1) from (B2) yields $(25/y_1) + (25/y_2)$

$< -(81/105) - (13/77) + (72/138)$. By (B4) we may assume $y_1 > 0$, so $25/y_2 < -1/3$, $-75 < y_2 < 0$, which is impossible.

(C) $L(d^z - {}_2d^z) = 0$ yields $1 + (18/x_1) + (1/y_1) - (16/y_5) - (16/y_6) = 0$. From Lemma 5(b), $x_1 \equiv 51$, $y_1 \equiv 1$, $y_5 \equiv 52$, $y_6 \equiv 52 \pmod{64}$. As in (B) we get $x_1 < 0$. If $x_1 < -13$, then the above equation gives $(1/63) + (16/52) \cdot 2 \geq 59/77$, a contradiction. Thus $x_1 = -13$. If $y_5 < -12$, (*) implies $y_5 < -140$; similarly for y_6 . If both are < -12 , we get $-1/y_1 > (59/77) - (32/140)$, against $|y_1| \geq 63$. Thus we may assume $y_5 = -12$; then $(1/y_1) - (16/y_6) = 37/39$, violating $y_6 \equiv 52 \pmod{64}$. Cases (E) and (F) yield similar contradictions.

(H) $L(d^t) = (\pm \chi(1), d^t) = 0$ yield

$$(H1) \quad 1 + (100/x_1) - (18/y_2) - (75/y_3) = 0,$$

$$(H2) \quad 1 + 4x_1 - 2y_2 - 3y_3 = 0.$$

We have $x_1 \equiv 53$, $y_2 \equiv 51$, $y_3 \equiv 37 \pmod{64}$, and if $y_3 > 0$, then $y_3 \geq 165$. It follows easily from (H1) that $x_1 < 0$. By (H2) either $y_2 < 0$ or $y_3 < 0$. Therefore $100/x_1 > 1 - (75/165)$, and $x_1 = -75$ or -139 . In either case (H1) and (H2) yield a quadratic equation for y_3 which has no integral solutions, a contradiction.

(J) $L({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), {}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), d^t - {}_1d^z) = 0$ yield

$$(J1) \quad 1 + (9/y_1) - (49/y_2) + (36/y_3) + (1/y_6) - (16/y_7) = 0,$$

$$(J2) \quad 1 + y_1 - y_2 + y_3 + y_6 - y_7 = 0,$$

$$(J3) \quad y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0.$$

$y_1 \equiv 51$, $y_2 \equiv 39$, $y_3 \equiv 38$, $y_6 \equiv 1$, $y_7 \equiv 52 \pmod{64}$. By Lemma 4,

$$L(-3_1d^z + 4_2d^z - d^t) > 0,$$

and this easily yields $y_2 = 39$ or 103 . However, if $y_2 = 103$, then (*) implies $|y_j| \geq 102$, $j = 1, 3, 6$, and 7 ; the congruences and (J1) yield a contradiction. Therefore $y_2 = 39$. Suppose $y_1 \geq -13$. By (J3), $(9/y_1) + (36/y_3) < 0$, and (J1) implies $y_7 = -12$, $y_1 = -13$, $y_3 = -26$, $|y_6| \leq 2$, a contradiction. Therefore $y_1 < -13$, $y_3 > 0$, and $(36/y_3) + (9/y_1) > 0$. From (J1), $y_7 \neq -12$, otherwise $|y_6| < 1$. Now if $y_1 = -77$, then (J1) yields $0 < y_7 < 40$, which is impossible. Applying (*) to a character of degree 39, we find $y_1 \leq -333$. The function $(9/y_1) + (36/(-y_1 - 39))$ is increasing for $y_1 < 0$, so (J1) implies $y_7 > -112$, $y_7 < 0$. Therefore $y_7 = -76$. Now (J2) and (J3) imply $y_6 = 1$, a contradiction.

(K) $L({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), {}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = 0$ yield

$$(K1) \quad 1 + (9/y_1) + (9/y_2) - (81/y_4) + (1/y_5) + (1/y_6) = 0,$$

$$(K2) \quad 1 + y_1 + y_2 - y_4 + y_5 + y_6 = 0.$$

$y_1 \equiv 51$, $y_2 \equiv 51$, $y_4 \equiv 41$, $y_5 \equiv 1$, $y_6 \equiv 1 \pmod{64}$. If $y_1 = y_2$, we can argue as in (A) to a contradiction. So we may assume $y_1 \neq y_2$. If neither is -13 , (K1) implies $y_4 < 105$, $y_4 > 0$, so $y_4 = 41$; thus from (K1), $(40/41) + (9/y_1) + (9/y_2) + (1/y_5) + (1/y_6) = 0$, which is impossible. We may thus assume $y_1 = -13$. As $y_2 \neq y_1$, $Q(\chi) \subseteq Q(\lambda)$ where χ is a character of degree 13, and (*) applies. Now (K1) yields $y_4 < 540$, $y_4 > 0$. If $y_4 \leq 169$, then (K1) implies $(-9/y_2) + (1/y_5) + (1/y_6) \geq 29/169$, so $y_2 = 51$,

violating (*). It follows from (*) that $y_4 = 297$. Suppose $y_5 < -63$. Then (*) implies $y_5 < -500$; similarly for y_6 . It follows easily from (K1) that we may assume $y_5 = -63$. (K1) and (K2) yield $(9/y_2) + (1/y_6) = -172/9009$, $y_2 + y_6 = 372$. Therefore $y_6 > 0$, $y_2 < 0$; (*) implies $y_2 = -77$, and so $1/y_6 = (9/77) - (172/9009) > 1/63$, a contradiction.

(L) $L({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), {}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), {}_1d^z - d^t) = 0$, $L({}_1d^z - d^t) > 0$ yield

(L1) $1 + (9/y_1) + (9/y_2) + (1/y_6) - (16/y_7) - (16/y_8) = 0$,

(L2) $1 + y_1 + y_2 + y_6 - y_7 - y_8 = 0$,

(L3) $y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0$,

(L4) $(36/y_1) + (36/y_2) + (400/y_3) > 0$.

$y_1 \equiv 51$, $y_2 \equiv 51$, $y_6 \equiv 1$, $y_7 \equiv 52$, $y_8 \equiv 52 \pmod{64}$. By (L1), either y_1 or $y_2 = -13$. So we may assume $y_1 = -13$. Then (L4) implies $0 < y_3 < 200$. If $y_3 = 154$, then (L3) gives $y_2 = -141$, violating (*). Hence $y_3 = 90$, $y_2 = -77$. If y_7 and y_8 both exceed 52, then (L1) and (L3) give $y_7 = y_8 = 180$, $y_6 = -63$, against (L2). So we may assume $y_7 = 52$. Then (L1) and (L2) imply $(1/y_6) - (16/y_8) = 9/77$, $y_6 - y_8 = 141$. Thus $-160 < y_8 < 0$, so by (*) $y_8 = -140$. Thus $y_6 = 1$, a contradiction.

(M) $L({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = 0$ yields

$$1 - (1/x_1) - (1/x_2) - (1/x_3) + (16/x_4) + (1/y_2) + (1/y_3) - (16/y_4) = 0.$$

Also, $x_i \equiv 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$), $x_4, y_4 \equiv 52$, $y_2, y_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{64}$. It follows easily that $x_4 = -12$. Then $|(16/y_4) + (1/3)| \leq 5/63$, so $-140 < y_4 < -12$; by (*) applied to a character of degree 12, $y_4 \neq -76$, a contradiction.

REMARK. These are the generalized decomposition numbers for $B_0(T\langle\beta\rangle)$.

(N) $L(d^t) = L({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z + d^t) = 0$ yield

(N1) $1 + (4/x_1) + (4/x_2) - (200/x_5) + (1/x_6) + (81/x_7) + (1/x_8) = 0$,

(N2) $2 + (3/x_1) + (3/x_2) + (16/x_3) + (16/x_4) - (200/x_5) + (2/x_6) + (2/x_8) = 0$.

$x_1, x_2, x_6, x_8 \equiv 1$; $x_3, x_4 \equiv 52$; $x_5 \equiv 26$, $x_7 \equiv 41 \pmod{64}$; if $x_5 > 0$, then $x_5 \leq 90$, and if $x_7 < 0$, then $x_7 \leq -87$. First suppose $x_5 = 90$. (N1) implies $x_7 = 41$, which is impossible as $|x_i| \geq 63$, $i = 1, 2, 6, 8$. So $x_5 \neq 90$. Then (N2) implies that we may assume $x_3 = -12$. If also $x_4 = -12$, then subtracting (N1) from (N2) we find $-81 < x_7 < 0$, which is impossible. So $x_4 \neq -12$. Now we can apply (*) to a character of degree 12. Thus $x_4 \neq -76$. Suppose $x_5 \neq 154$. By (*), $x_5 > 600$ if $x_5 > 0$. This contradicts (N2), so $x_5 = 154$. By (N2), $0 < x_3 < 40$, a contradiction.

(P) $L(d^t) = L({}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = 0$ and $L({}_1d^z - d^t) > 0$ yield

(P1) $1 + (4/x_1) + (4/x_2) - (200/x_5) + (1/x_6) + (25/x_7) + (25/x_8) = 0$,

(P2) $1 - (1/x_1) - (1/x_2) + (16/x_3) + (16/x_4) + (1/x_6) - (16/x_9) - (16/x_{10}) = 0$,

(P3) $(-4/x_1) - (4/x_2) + (64/x_3) + (64/x_4) + (400/x_5) > 0$.

$x_1, x_2, x_6 \equiv 1$, $x_3, x_4, x_9, x_{10} \equiv 52$, $x_5 \equiv 26$, $x_7 \equiv 53$, $x_8 \equiv 53 \pmod{64}$; if $x_5 > 0$, then $x_5 \geq 90$. From (P1), we easily get $x_5 > 0$. If $x_5 = 90$, (P1) implies either x_7 or x_8 is > 0 and < 50 , a contradiction. Hence $x_5 \geq 154$. If $x_4 = -12$, then (P3) implies $0 < x_3 < 32$, a contradiction. Therefore x_4 , and similarly x_3 , is $\neq -12$. Since $|x_i| \geq 63$, $i = 1, 2, 6$, it follows easily from (P2) that $x_3 = x_4 = -52$, $x_9 = x_{10} = 76$; thus $(1/x_1) + (1/x_2) - (1/x_6) = -9/247$. We may then assume that $x_1 = -63$, and

either $x_2 = -63$ or $x_6 = 65$. In either case the third x_i turns out not to be an integer, a contradiction.

(Q) $L(d^t + {}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = 0$, $L({}_1d^z - d^t) > 0$ yield

$$(Q1) \quad 2 + (3/x_1) + (16/x_2) + (16/x_3) + (16/x_4) - (75/x_5) + (2/x_7) - (16/x_8) = 0,$$

$$(Q2) \quad (-4/x_1) + (64/x_2) + (64/x_3) + (64/x_4) + (100/x_5) > 0.$$

$x_1, x_7 \equiv 1$, $x_2, x_3, x_4, x_8 \equiv 52$, $x_5 \equiv 37 \pmod{64}$; if $x_5 > 0$, then $x_5 \geq 165$. Suppose $x_2 = -12$. Then (Q2) implies either x_3 or x_4 is positive and < 32 , a contradiction. Hence $x_2 \neq -12$, and similarly for x_3 and x_4 . Now (Q1) implies $75/x_5 > \frac{1}{2}$, so $0 < x_5 < 150$, a contradiction.

(R) $L(d^t) = (\pm \chi(1), d^t) = 0$ yield

$$(R1) \quad 1 + (4/x_1) - (75/x_5) + (25/x_6) + (25/x_7) = 0,$$

$$(R2) \quad 1 + 4x_1 - 3x_5 + x_6 + x_7 = 0.$$

$x_1 \equiv 1$, $x_5 \equiv 37$, $x_6 \equiv 53$, $x_7 \equiv 53 \pmod{64}$; if $x_5 > 0$, then $x_5 \geq 165$. From (R2), it is impossible that $x_1, x_6, x_7 < 0$ and $x_5 > 0$ at the same time. Then (R1) easily yields $0 < x_5 < 225$, so $x_5 = 165$. Also from (R1), we may assume that $x_6 = -75$. Then we obtain $(4/x_1) + (25/x_7) = -7/33$, $4x_1 + x_7 = 569$. Therefore $x_7 \equiv 53 \pmod{256}$. From the first equation, $-165 < x_7 < 0$, a contradiction.

(S) From Lemma 5(b), $x_1 \equiv 51$, $x_4 \equiv 50$, $x_6, x_7 \equiv 53$, $x_8 \equiv 52 \pmod{64}$; if $x_4 > 0$, then $x_4 \geq 114$. Now $0 > L(5d^t + 3{}_1d^z - 4{}_2d^z) \geq 8 - (144/51) - (96/114) - (100/75) - (100/75) - (64/52)$, a contradiction.

(T) $L(d^t + {}_1d^z - {}_2d^z) = L(-d^t + 2{}_1d^z - 2{}_2d^z) = (\pm \chi(1), d^t + 3{}_1d^z - 4{}_2d^z) = 0$, $L({}_1d^z) > 0$ yield

$$(T1) \quad 2 - (18/x_1) + (16/x_2) + (3/x_3) - (147/x_4) + (108/x_6) = 0,$$

$$(T2) \quad 1 + (72/x_1) + (32/x_2) - (6/x_3) - (243/x_5) = 0,$$

$$(T3) \quad 1 - 2x_4 - x_5 + x_6 = 0,$$

$$(T4) \quad 1 + (36/x_1) + (64/x_2) + (98/x_4) + (81/x_5) + (72/x_6) > 0.$$

$x_1 \equiv 51$, $x_2 \equiv 52$, $x_3 \equiv 1$, $x_4 \equiv 39$, $x_6 \equiv 54 \pmod{64}$; if $x_5 < 0$, $x_5 \leq -87$; if $x_6 < 0$, then $x_6 \leq -138$. We show first that $x_2 \neq -12$, $x_1 \neq -13$. If $x_2 = -12$, (T2) implies $x_5 < 0$, and (T4) implies $98/x_4 > 2$, so $x_4 = 39$. As $x_5 < -87$, (T3) gives $x_6 < 0$. Then (T4) yields $98/x_4 > 3$, which is impossible. If $x_1 = -13$, (T2) implies $243/x_5 < -3$, so $-81 < x_5 < 0$, a contradiction. Now since $x_2 \neq -12$, (T1) gives $0 < x_4 < 295$. If $x_4 = 39$, (T1) implies $x_6 < 108$ and $x_6 > 0$, so $x_6 = 54$. Then (T3) implies $x_5 = -23$, a contradiction. If $x_4 = 167$, then (*) implies $|x_i| > 165$, $1 \leq i \leq 6$, and (T1) cannot hold, a contradiction. If $x_4 = 231$, (T1) implies $108/x_6 < -\frac{2}{3}$ so $x_6 = -138$; (T3) gives $x_5 = -599$, a prime, violating (*). Therefore, $x_4 = 103$. If $x_6 > 0$, (*) implies $|x_i| \geq 102$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and (T1) cannot hold, a contradiction. Therefore $x_6 > 0$, and from (T3), $x_5 < 0$. By (*), $x_2 \leq -140$ if $x_2 < 0$. Then (T2) implies $72/x_1 < -2/3$, so $-108 < x_1 < 0$, violating (*).

(U) We show in this case and (V) also that G has a rational character of degree 12, and prove (4.1). From Lemma 4,

$$(U1) \quad 1 - (36/x_1) + (4/x_3) - (98/x_4) + (200/x_5) + (1/x_6) = 0,$$

$$(U2) \quad 1 + (18/x_1) + (16/x_2) - (1/x_3) - (49/x_4) + (1/x_6) - (16/x_7) = 0,$$

$$(U3) \quad 1 + (36/x_1) + (64/x_2) + (98/x_4) + (200/x_5) + (1/x_6) > 0.$$

Also, $x_1 \equiv 51$, $x_2 \equiv 52$, $x_3 \equiv 1$, $x_4 \equiv 39$, $x_5 \equiv 42$, $x_6 \equiv 1$, $x_7 \equiv 52 \pmod{64}$; if $x_5 < 0$, then $x_5 \leq -150$. Suppose first that $x_2 = -12$. Adding (U1) and (U3) yields $400/x_5 > 3$, so $x_5 = 42$ or 106 . If $x_5 = 42$, then (U1) implies $(36/x_1) + (98/x_4) > 5$, which is impossible. So $x_5 = 106$, violating (*). Thus, $x_2 \neq -12$. Suppose $x_1 \neq -13$. (U2) implies $0 < x_4 < 228$. If $x_4 = 103$ or 167 , then (U2) cannot hold without a violation of (*). Thus $x_4 = 39$. From (U1) we get $200/x_5 > 12/13$; thus $x_5 = 42$, 106 , or 170 . By (*) applied to a character of degree 39 , $x_5 \neq 106$. If $x_5 = 42$, then (U1) clearly cannot hold. So $x_5 = 170$. Now (U1) yields $-140 < x_1 < 0$, so $x_1 = -77$; again by (U1), $(4/x_3) + (1/x_6) < -1/10$, which is impossible. We have proved that $x_1 = -13$. It now follows easily from (U1) that $x_4 = 39$, $x_5 = -150$; then $(4/x_3) + (1/x_6) = 1/13$, so $x_3 = x_6 = 65$. From (U3), $64/x_2 > 7/12$, so $x_2 = 52$. (U2) yields $x_7 = -12$. The character with degree $-x_7$ is clearly rational. By Lemma 4(c),

$$\frac{128|C_G(z)|^3}{|C_G(z, y)|^2} = |G| \left\{ 1 - \frac{36}{13} + \frac{64}{52} + \frac{98}{39} - \frac{200}{150} + \frac{1}{65} \right\},$$

proving (4.1).

(V) We get the same equations as in (U), with $\sum_{i=1}^4 25/x_5^{(i)}$ substituted for $200/x_5$, and now $x_5^{(i)} \equiv 53 \pmod{64}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Suppose $x_1 \neq -13$. If $x_2 = -12$, then adding (U1) and (U3) we get $\sum_{i=1}^4 50/x_5^{(i)} > 42/12$, so some $x_5^{(i)} = 53$, violating (*). Thus if $x_1 \neq -13$, then $x_2 \neq -12$. As in (U), we conclude that $x_4 = 39$. Now (U1) implies that some $x_5^{(i)}$ is 53 , again contradicting (*). Therefore $x_1 = -13$. As in (U) we find $x_4 = 39$. Now (U1) gives $\sum_{i=1}^4 25/x_5^{(i)} < -964/819$. (*) applied to a character of degree 13 implies that $x_5^{(i)} < -200$ if $x_5^{(i)} < -75$. It follows that each $x_5^{(i)} = -75$. We can now argue as in (U).

5. Completion of the proof. Since G has a rational character of degree 12 , a theorem of Schur [13] implies $|G| \mid 2^6 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$. We show $C_G(z) = C_G(Z(T))$. Let p be a prime divisor of $|O_2(C_G(z))|$, and let P_0 and P be T -invariant Sylow p -subgroups of $O_2(C_G(z)) \cap C_G(Z(T))$ and $O_2(C_G(z))$, respectively, with $P_0 \leq P$. Suppose $P_0 < P$. Then from the character theory of T we conclude $p^4 \mid |P:P_0|$, so $p^4 \mid |C_G(z)|/|C_G(Z(T))|$. By (4.1), we get $p^{12} \mid |G|$, a contradiction. Therefore $P_0 = P$ and, as p was arbitrary, $O_2(C_G(z)) \leq C_G(Z(T))$. The structure of $C_G(z)$ modulo core yields $C_G(Z(T)) = C_G(z)$. Let $N = N_G(Z(T))$. Thus N is strongly embedded in G . By a theorem of Bender [2], $G \cong \text{Sz}(8)$, $U_3(4)$, or $L_2(64)$, since $|T| = 2^6$. As T has exactly 3 involutions, $G \cong U_3(4)$, completing the proof of Theorem 2.

We turn to the corollary to Theorem 1. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G . If $T \leq N$, then $N = G$ and since T is indecomposable, G is simple; thus $G \cong U_3(4)$ by Theorem 1. So assume $T \not\leq N$.

If N is nonsolvable, then by the Z^* -theorem, N is simple and $N \geq Z(T)$, since T contains only 3 involutions. As argued in the proof of Lemma 1, N contains an element α normalizing $N \cap T$ and cycling $Z(T)^\#$. Therefore $|N \cap T| \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. If $|N \cap T| = 16$, then the existence of α implies that $N \cap T \cong Z_4 \times Z_4$, contradicting

the main theorem of [14]. So $N \cap T = Z(T)$, and $N \cong L_2(q)$ for some $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, by [10]. But then $2^4 \nmid |\text{Aut } N|$ so $C_G(N)$ contains an involution; this implies $C_G(N) \cap N \neq 1$, which is impossible.

Therefore N is solvable, so $N \leq Z(T)$. If $|N| = 2$, then since $Z(T)$ is weakly closed in T , we get $Z(T)/N \triangleleft G/N$. Hence $Z(T) \triangleleft G$ in any case. Since $G = O^{2'}(G)$, $Z(T) \leq Z(G)$. Denote residues modulo $Z(T)$ by bars. The proof of Lemma 1(c) implies that T has no automorphism of order 3 or 7 acting trivially on $Z(T)$. Hence 3 and 7 do not divide $|N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T})/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{T})|$. Clearly $\bar{G}$ is core free. By the main theorem of [14], a minimal normal subgroup of $\bar{G}$ is solvable, and it follows easily that $\bar{T} \triangleleft \bar{G}$. Therefore $T = G$, as required.

REFERENCES

1. J. Alperin, R. Brauer and D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups with quasi-dihedral and wreathed Sylow 2-subgroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (1970), 1–262.
2. H. Bender, *Transitive Gruppen gerader Ordnung, in denen jede Involution genau einen Punkt festlässt*, J. Algebra **17** (1971), 527–554.
3. R. Brauer, *Zur Darstellungstheorie der Gruppen endlicher Ordnung*. II, Math. Z. **72** (1959/60), 25–46. MR **21** #7258.
4. ———, *Investigation on groups of even order*. I, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **47** (1961), 1891–1893. MR **24** #A3209.
5. ———, *Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups*. I, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 152–167. MR **29** #5920.
6. ———, *Some applications of the theory of blocks of characters of finite groups*. II, J. Algebra **1** (1964), 307–334. MR **30** #4836.
7. R. Brauer and P. Fong, *A characterization of the Mathieu group M_{12}* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 18–47. MR **34** #7631.
8. G. Glauberman, *Central elements in core-free groups*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 403–420. MR **34** #2681.
9. ———, *A characterization of the Suzuki groups*, Illinois J. Math. **12** (1968), 76–98. MR **37** #1460.
10. D. Gorenstein and J. H. Walter, *The characterization of finite groups with dihedral Sylow 2-subgroups*. I, J. Algebra **2** (1965), 85–151. MR **31** #1297a.
11. Z. Janko and J. Thompson, *On finite simple groups whose Sylow 2-subgroups have no normal elementary subgroup of order 8* (unpublished).
12. A. MacWilliams, Doctoral Thesis, University of Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1969.
13. I. Schur, *Über eine Klasse von endlichen Gruppen linearen Substitutionen*, S.-B. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin **1905**, 77–91.
14. J. Walter, *The characterization of finite groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **89** (1969), 405–514. MR **40** #2749.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06520

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637